THE EXPERIMENTAL STUDY ON VISCOELASTIC MATERIAL DAMPERS AND THE FORMULATION OF ANALYTICAL MODEL

Mitsuo ASANO[1], Higashino MASAHIKO[2] And Masashi YAMAMOTO[3]

SUMMARY

The mechanical properties of visco-elastic damper are examined and the mechanical model of the damper is developed in this report. The dependency on frequency, amplitude and temperature in the mechanical properties of visco-elastic material must be evaluated appropriately. However, the properties of visco-elastic materials are not studies comprehensively. The mechanical properties of four kinds of materials, which are currently produced, are studied based on dynamic loading experiment of full-scale dampers. The two mechanical models are constructed. One considered the dependency on the number of repeated cycle and amplitude, and another considered the dependency on frequency. By comparing with the experimental results, the constructed models agreed accurately. The earthquake response analyses of a building with the dampers are carried out. The responses were reduced in the analyses remarkably.

INTRODUCTION

Visco-elastic damper (VE damper hereafter) has the characteristic of absorbing vibration energy from small amplitude to large amplitude vibration. Hence, it can improve the habitability of the building during wind excitation and secure the safety of the structure during earthquake with same device. However, the mechanical properties of VE material have the dependencies on frequency, amplitude and temperature. These characteristics should be evaluated appropriately in the mechanical model, but the construction of mechanical models for analysis of these properties is rather complex.

Various mechanical models that can evaluate these dependencies have been proposed in the previous studies. In these studies, the dependency on frequency has been the major interest and this property has been widely studied using multi-Maxwell element model[Soda and Takahashi,1997], fractional derivatives model [Kasai, Munshi, Lai and Maison,1993], fading memory model[Izumi, Xue, Tobita and Hanzawa,1990], and etc. Among these models, fractional derivatives model and fading memory model have simple mathematical expression, however, element composition with these models are relatively complex. The non-linearity and reaction force degradation are also major properties of VE material, but the mechanical models for these properties have not been intensively studied as frequency dependency, because of the complexity of damping mechanism.

In this report, the authors have conducted the dynamic loading experiment of full-scale dampers of four different materials and examined the characteristics of these dampers. The mechanical properties of these dampers were roughly divided into two groups. The first group showed the characteristics of non-linearity and reaction force degradation. The second group showed the dependency on frequency but did not show noticeable non-linearity and reaction force degradation.

The authors constructed the mechanical models for these characteristics. For the first group, reaction force degradation was modeled in the relationship with absorbed energy. Non-linearity was modeled using four-

element multi-Maxwell model with one non-linear dashpot. For the second group, the dependency on frequency was modeled by ARX model, which corresponds to five-element multi-Maxwell model. The mechanical models constructed by these methods were compared with the results of the experiment by earthquake wave excitation, and the accuracy of these models was studied. Also, the structural control efficiency was examined by earthquake response analysis of a building.

DYNAMIC LOADING EXPERIMENT OF VE DAMPERS

Outline of experiment

The most fundamental utilization of VE-dampers in the framing system is bracing, as shown in Fig.1. The construction of a damper is also shown in Fig.1. VE-material layer is placed between two rectangular steel pipes. Each rectangular steel pipe must be connected to different floor levels. With this configuration, the inter-story drift of the framing system will induce the relative displacement between two steel pipes, and the shear deformation in VE-material layer will be induced, and the damping effect will thus be obtained.

In order to examine the mechanical properties of this damper, dynamic loading experiments were carried out using the apparatus shown in Fig.2. The dampers for four different kinds of VE materials, which are currently available in the market, were provided. The outline of VE-dampers tested is shown in Table 1. The thickness of VE material layer was all 10mm, while the total area of each material was slightly different. The excitation conditions are shown in Table 2. Each sinusoidal excitation had ten cycles. Excitation cases for higher frequencies were limited to low levels, because of the force limitation, 30 ton, of the dynamic actuator. The experiments were carried out for two different ambient temperatures.


Figure 1: Configuration of VE-damper

Table 1: outline of VE-dampers tested

| Title	VE-material compound	Shaer square	Ambient temperature	
			1	2
B1	Urethane-asphalt	8400cm^2	23	12
B2	Rubber Asphalt	7800cm^2	25	10
B3	Acrylic	9000cm^2	23	10
B4	Diene	9000cm^2	28	10

Figure 2: Testing apparatus

Table 2: Excitation conditions

Item	Excitation condition
Sinusoid	Frequency(Hz) 0.2, 0.3, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0 Shear strain(%) 5, 10, 50, 100, 150, 200, 300
Sweep (only B3 B4)	Frequency(Hz) 0.3 3.0 Shear strain(%) 50 [Uniform]
Eartquake response	Building [24 layers first natural period 3.05sec] Input: El Centro 1940 NS Max 50kine] Maximum displacement B1; 1.0cm, B2; 1.5cm B3; 2.39cm, B4; 2.0cm

Sinusoidal excitation : 10 waves(Each case)

Experimental results

The hystereses of four dampers are shown in Fig. 4. The diagrams in this figure are arranged in two series, different strain with constant frequency (0.2Hz), and different frequency with constant amplitude (shear strain: γ =10%). These experiments were carried out in ambient temperature 1, shown in Table 1. B1 and B2 dampers showed oval shape hysteresis at shear strain level of 10% or less. But bi-linear shaped hystereses were observed at higher strain levels. Also the reaction force degradation was observed at large amplitude excitation, that large equivalent stiffness and loop area were decreased by the repetition of excitation. B3 and B4 dampers showed the oval hysteresis regardless of amplitude level. For these dampers, force degradation by the repetition of excitation was small.

Equivalent stiffness k_{eq} and equivalent damping h_{eq} of dampers subjected to sinusoidal excitation at temperature 1 are shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 respectively. These values were evaluated by the rule shown in Fig.3. Second loop was used in the calculation for B1 and B2 dampers, since the reaction force degradation was observed in these

Figure 4   Hysteresis loop

**Figure 5   Equivalent stiffness**

Figure 6   Equivalent damping

**Figure 7 Temperature dependencies in equivalent stiffness and equivalent damping**

$$W_e = \frac{1}{2} \cdot k_{eq} \cdot \delta^2$$

ΔW : **hysteresis loop area**

$$h_{eq} = \frac{1}{4 \cdot \pi} \cdot \frac{\Delta W}{W_e}$$

Figure 3 Calculation method of constants

3

dampers. The average value of all loops was adopted for B3 and B4 dampers, because the reaction force degradation was negligible for these dampers.

The dependency on frequency was observed in all four dampers, that equivalent stiffness increased as excitation frequency increased. This property was prominent in B3 and B4 dampers compared to B1 and B2 dampers. Also non-linearity was observed in all four dampers, that equivalent stiffness decreased as the excitation amplitude increased. However, this property in B3 and B4 dampers was not as noticeable compared to B1 and B2 dampers. Equivalent damping did not have any dependency on frequency for all dampers except B3. For B3 damper, equivalent damping increased as excitation frequency increased. The amplitude dependency in equivalent damping was relatively small for all dampers.

The value ratio at ambient temperature 1 to ambient temperature 2 for equivalent stiffness and equivalent damping are shown in Fig.7 for B1 and B3 dampers. For damper B1(12℃/23℃) , which represents the dampers have characteristics of non-linearity and reaction force degradation, the ratio in equivalent stiffness was almost 1.5 and the ratio in equivalent damping was almost 1.0. For damper B3(10℃/23℃) , which represents the dampers have dependency on frequency, ratio in both equivalent stiffness and equivalent damping was almost 1.6. Thus, both dampers, B1 and B3, showed dependency on ambient temperature.

CONSTRUCTION OF THE MECHANICAL MODELS OF VE DAMPERS

The mechanical models of all four dampers are proposed after the experimental result shown in Chapter 2. In constructing the mechanical model, following assumptions were made:
    1) Only ambient temperature 1 was considered.
    2) Large earthquake was targeted.
    3) Repetition number was relatively small.

The modeling of VE dampers with reaction force degradation and non-linearity

The reaction force degradation and the non-linearity were modeled for B1 and B2 dampers. Fig.8 shows the relationship between the absorbed energy E and the reaction force degradation, when the sinusoidal excitations were imposed. The accumulated absorption energy E is expressed as follows:

$$E = \int_0^t F \cdot v dt \qquad (1)$$

where, F is reaction force and v is the shear deformation velocity of VE material layer. The reaction force was normalized by the maximum force in this figure. Only the case for excitation frequency at 0.5Hz is shown in this figure, but similar results were obtained at other excitation frequencies. The results for amplitude 1.5cm and 1.0cm are shown in same figure. The reaction forces decreased by same rate to the amount of absorbed energy for different amplitude. It is commonly considered that the continuation time of the earthquake was shorter compared to the heat transmission rate, and all absorbed energy stayed inside the VE material layer. With this assumption, the all absorbed energy was evaluated as the rise of temperature of the VE material layer, in previous study. [Kasai, Huang and Wada,1997] However, the authors considered that, it is premature in the present material studies to think that only the rise of temperature caused the reaction force degradation. Thus, the amount of absorbed energy was directly chosen as the index. In Fig.8, reaction force degradation rate r is introduced, and the relationship between r and E can be expressed as follows:

$$r=90 ／ （200+2E） ＋0.55 \qquad (2)$$
$$r=150 ／ （200+E） ＋0.25 \qquad (3)$$

Where, the expression (2) and (3) corresponds to damper B1 and B2 respectively. The expressions (2) and (3) are shown in bold lines in Fig.8. By transforming the original hysteresis with degradation rate r, the hysteresis, which was not influenced by degradation, was obtained as shown in Fig.9. Even the influence of degradation were removed, the hysteresis still have non-linearity. There are several methods to model the non-linearity, such as using bi-linear force-displacement relationship. [Yokokawa, Oishi and Soda, 1997] However, these models usually have relatively complex element composition. In this report, much simpler model using four- element model was introduced. This model contains only one non-linear element. The composition of four-element model is shown in the left figure of Fig.10. F_D represents the non-linear damping element, which has bi-linear force-velocity relationship shown in the right figure of Fig.10. This total model which is considering the reaction force degradation and non-linearity using four –element model will be referred as Degrading Bi-linear Velocity model (DBV model hereafter). By fitting the hysteresis with the corrected experimental results shown in Fig.9, each parameter for B1 and B2 dampers were identified as shown in Table 3. The fitted model is shown in Fig.11.

By comparing Fig. 9 with Fig. 11, good agreement between mechanical models and experimental results were shown.


(a) B1   (b) B2

**Figure 8 Force degradation by absorbed energy**

(a) B1   (b) B2

Figure 9 Hysteresis which were removed with the repetition

Figure 10 Four-element multi-Maxwell model with one Non-linear dashpot


(a) B1   (b) B2

Figure 11 Hysteresis of four-element multi-Maxwell model with one Non-linear dashpot

The modeling of VE damper with frequency dependency

B3 and B4 dampers showed frequency dependency, while they did not show noticeable reaction force degradation or any non-linearity. From this observation, B3 and B4 dampers were modeled only for dependency on frequency.

The mechanical model of VE dampers with frequency dependency is expressed by ARX model [Lennart Ljung, 1995] as follows:

$$y_k + a_1 y_{k-1} = b_1 u_{k-1} + b_2 u_{k-2} + b_3 u_{k-3} + w_k \qquad (4)$$
$$w_k : \text{ error}$$

Where input (displacement) is expressed as u_k and the output (force) is expressed as y_k. These represent kth step values in discrete time domain with time interval of Δt. In order to identify coefficients in equation (4), a1, b1, b2, and b3, accurately, it is necessary to include the frequency components of the interest in the wave used in identification. The experimental results by sweep excitation were used herein. The sweep wave shown in Fig.12 has uniform shear strain of 50%, and the frequency is swept from 0.3Hz to 3.0Hz.

The identified coefficients for B3 and B4 dampers are shown in Table 4. These values were obtained for Δt=0.01s. This model is equal to five-element multi-Maxwell model represented by the springs and dashpots shown in Fig.13. The constants in five-element multi-Maxwell model are shown in Table 5. The modeled equivalent stiffness and the equivalent damping are shown in Fig.14., compared with the values obtained by experiments. The identified hystereses show good agreement with the values obtained in the experiments, except the proposed model evaluated the equivalent damping larger in frequency range above 2.0Hz.

The verification of mechanical models

The constructed mechanical models for four kinds of dampers were verified with the experimental results using inter-story drift by earthquake response analysis of a building shown in Table 2. The results simulated by DBV model for B1 and B2 dampers and ARX model for B3 and B4 dampers are shown in Fig.15 and Fig.16. The simulated hystereses were compared with the experimental results in Fig.15. The waves of reaction forces are shown in Fig.16. Also analytical results by Voigt model calculated at natural period 3.05s are shown for B3 and B4 dampers.

The maximum force was well simulated, and the agreement in hysteresis between analysis and experiment was good. Although a slight difference were observed between hysteresis using constructed DBV model and

experimental results, the waves of reaction force in time domain appears to have good agreement. The ARX model simulated the experimental results very well for the hysteresis as well as the wave of reaction force in time domain. The results using Voigt model showed hysteresis with large area compared to experimental results, which indicates the overestimation of damping in this model.

Table 3 Constants in DBV model

Damper	B1	B2
K_1(tf/cm)	7.0	15.0
K_2(tf/cm)	25.0	120.0
F_D(tf)	4.0	11.0
C_1(tf·s/cm)	1.2	1.0
C_2(tf·s/cm)	7.0	6.0
C_3(tf·s/cm)	1.5	1.5

Table 4 Constants in ARX model

Damper	a_1	b_1	b_2	b_3
B3	-0.954	50.49	-89.85	39.58
B4	-0.961	62.33	-112.5	50.30

Table 5 Constants in five-element multi-Maxwell model

Damper	B3	B4
K_1 (tf/cm)	82.97	104.72
K_2 (tf/cm)	4.2	7.35
K_3 (tf/cm)	4.91	2.76
C_1 (tf·s/cm)	0.41	0.52
C_2 (tf·s/cm)	0.89	1.83

Table 6 Constants in Voigt model

| Damper	Natural period(sec)	k_{eq}(tf/cm)	C(tf·s/cm)
B1	2.5	8.23	3.01
B2	2.5	10.2	4.55
B3	3.05	5.59	1.16
	2.5	5.86	1.11
B4	3.05	4.30	1.97
	2.5	4.84	1.84

Figure 12 Sweep wave (0.3～3.0Hz,shear strain:50%)

**Figure 13 Five-element multi-Maxwell model**

**Figure 14 Mechanical models and experimental results**

**Figure 15 Comparison of hysteresis loop**

6

**Figure 16 Comparison of reaction force wave form**

Figure 17 Results of earthquake response analyses

EARTHQUAKE RESPONSE ANALYSIS

In order to examine the response decreased by the equipment of VE-dampers, the earthquake response analysis were carried out. The analytical model was the shear model which had 25 lumped masses. The weight of each mass was 1,000tf. The stiffness was distributed in trapezoidal profile (top story: first story = 1:2), making natural period as 2.5s. The hysteresis model at each story was given by normal bi-linear type (yield displacement: 3.5cm, post-elastic stiffness: 1/1000 times that of the initial stiffness). The damping was given by Rayleigh type with 2% for the first and second natural period. The models of B1 and B2 dampers was DBV model and the models for B3 and B4 dampers was ARX model. Voigt models using period at 2.5s were established for all dampers also (Table 6). The damper's capacities from first to 13th story of the building were 40 times the capacity of the damper used in the experiment, and from 14th to the top story were 20 times the capacity used in the experiment. The results of response analyses, when input earthquake wave was El Centro 1940 NS

7

(Maximum velocity was 50 cm/s), are shown in Fig.17.

The inter-story displacement as well as the acceleration response of the structure was well reduced by the equipment of the dampers regardless of the analytical models. In comparing the control effect of dampers, B1 and B2 dampers were more efficient than B3 and B4 dampers. Although the analytical model for B1 and B2 dampers were more complex, these dampers absorbed response energy more efficiently. It is seen from the same figure that Voigt model overestimated the damping for higher modes. In general, Voigt model is useful at the beginning of structural design using VE dampers. However, it is important to take above-mentioned characteristics into account when designing dampers. Also, the underestimation of the response was at most 20%, that the validity of using Voigt model in designing dampers were shown.

CONCLUSIONS

The conclusions based on this study are summarized as follows:

1) Based on dynamic loading experiment, the mechanical properties of the dampers were roughly divided into two groups. The first group showed non-linearity and reaction force degradation with small dependency on frequency. The second group showed noticeable dependency on frequency, but non-linearity and reaction force degradation was small.

2) For the dampers which had reaction force degradation and dependency on amplitude, the reaction force degradation was expressed by absorbed energy as index. Non-linearity in hysteresis at large amplitude was modeled by four-element multi-Maxwell model with one bi-linear velocity dashpot. Small difference in hysteresis loops was observed between experimental results and analytical results using constructed model.

3) The mechanical model of the dampers, which had dependency on frequency, was modeled using ARX method. The constructed model simulated the experimental results very well. The hysteresis of Voigt model had larger area compared to experimental results and hysteresis by ARX model, that Voigt model slightly over estimated the absorbed energy.

4) As the results of earthquake response analysis of a building equipped with VE dampers, the inter-story displacement as well as the acceleration response of the building was reduced. Voigt model had the tendency to over-estimate damping effect for all four dampers, since Voigt model had larger damping at higher modes compared to reality. But, this over estimation was small enough in using Voigt model for engineering VE dampers.

5) The dependencies on frequency and the dependency on amplitude by the unit volume of each VE material are presented by makers of these dampers. This information enables us to engineer VE dampers using Voigt model, but it is necessary to consider the notes mentioned in 4).

The characteristics of the dampers made by four different materials were examined and the mechanical models for these dampers were constructed. The validity of these models were also examined that simulated hysteresis agreed experimental results very well. However, it is important to study the deformation limit, failure mode, and durability subjected to large number of cyclic load, when we are to engineer full-scale dampers for actual structure. As mentioned in item 5), it is also important to construct mechanical models for unit volume of VE materials. The study in this report did not focus on temperature dependencies of the materials and manufactured dampers, which include heat transmission in VE material as well as steel casings. These studies need to be conducted for the next step of the research.

REFERENCE

Izumi, Xue, Tobita and Hanzawa(1990),"Wave attenuation in viscoelastic material with fading memory", *Journal of Structural and Construction Engineering , AIJ*, No.417, pp101-106.

Kasai, Munshi, Lai, and Maison, B.F(1993), "Viscoelastic Damper Hysteretic Model: Theory, Experiment, and Application", ATC-17-1, pp.521-532.

Kasai, Huang and Wada,(1997)."Hysteretic of Visco-Elastic Damper for Long Duration Loading", *Summaries of technical papers of annual meeting architectural institute of Japan*,pp.829-830.

Lennart Ljung(1995), "System Identification Toolbox User's Guide for MATLAB": *The Math Works, Inc*.

Soda and Takahashi(1997),"Quantification of frequency-dependent property of visco-elastic damper by random loading method", *Journal of Structural and Construction Engineering, AIJ*, No.498, pp43-49.

Yokokawa, Oishi and Soda(1997),"Visco-elasto-plasic damper: assessment of hysteretic properties and mechanical model", *Summaries of technical papers of annual meeting architectural institute of Japan*, pp.831-832