

# SEISMIC RESPONSE ANALYSIS OF THE LARGE BRIDGE PIER SUPPORTED BY GROUP PILE FOUNDATION CONSIDERING THE EFFECT OF WAVE AND CURRENT ACTION

BAI De-gui <sup>1,2</sup>, CHEN Guo-xing<sup>1</sup> and WANG Zhi-hua<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Geotechnical Engineering, Nanjing University of Technology, Nanjing. China <sup>2</sup> Chongqing Survey Institute, Chongqing, China

#### **ABSTRACT :**

On the basis of the ABAQUS software and parallel calculation technology, this paper presents a 3D finite element method to model the pier-piles -soil system. In the analysis model, the soil is represented as an assembly of 8 node solid elements and the viscous-plastic memorial nested the yield surface model of soil was used to describe its dynamic nonlinear. The piles are represented as an assembly of beam elements and the dynamic plastic-damage model was used to describe the concrete's dynamic character. And based on the Morison's hydrodynamic pressure formula and representing sea wave by Stokes fifth-order wave theory, the wave force was putted on the bridge pier and group piles as a distributed load. The pile's seismic response characteristics in lenitic condition and including the influence of the wave and current action were analyzed, and the influence of the wave height and current velocity on the pile's seismic response was discussed. The results show that the wave and current action increases the pile's peak response of relative displacement, shear force and moment obviously, but has slight influence on the pile's peak response of acceleration. The influence of the wave height on the pile's seismic response is related to the earthquake wave characteristics. The current velocity has an obvious influence on the pile's response of relative displacement. As the velocity increased, the relative displacement along the river direction increased, but the relative displacement against the river direction decreased. It shows that it is necessary to consider the effect of the wave and current action on the large bridge pier's seismic response.

**KEYWORDS:** Morison's formula, wave and current action, wave theory, group pile's pier, seismic response



#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

With the development of the social economy, many large span bridges across channels and rivers continuously appear so as to support the urban traffic demand. In general case, these piers of the bridge across channels and rivers are in deep water and theirs working environment is so bad that they must bear many complex environment loads. The finished projects has demonstrated that these deepwater pile foundations can well satisfy the using functions and safety requirements and some of them even can pass through the dynamic effect test of wind, damp, flow etc. Though, their seismic stability caused by strong earthquake, especially the combined effect of strong ground motion and wave action, has not been verified yet. Actually, the deepwater pile foundations are located in 10m below the water level, and they are subjected to both the excited vibration under ground motion and the hydrodynamic pressure caused by water wave and current. From the angle of mechanics, the hydrodynamic pressure caused by water wave and current composes the pile's additional moment directly, which has influence on the pile's internal force.

According to the dynamic response of water structure under combination of seismic ground motion and wave action, many scholars at home and abroad have studied it, and some beneficial results have been obtained. Yamada and Kawano analyzed the offshore structure's dynamic response by the way of random vibration, in which the wave was simulated by Bretschneider power spectrum and the strong ground motion was simulated by Kanai power spectrum; Karadeniz analyzed the 3D structure's dynamic response under the coupling effect of the seismic ground motion and deepwater wave using spectrum analysis method, in which the wave and seismic ground motion were regarded as stochastic processes; Fukusumi and Uchida simulated the wave as simple harmonic wave, and analyzed the dynamic response effects caused by structural stiffness, flow and fluid density, and the flexible structure's seismic response was obtained; Etemad and Gharabaghi used the Nogami model to consider the pile-soil interaction, and analyzed the pile foundation's seismic response under two situations: the directions of the wave and seismic ground motion are the same and opposite; Abbasi and Gharabaghi took the material nonlinearity into consideration and established a 3D jack-up offshore platform model to analyze the wave direction's influence on the seismic response; Li Furong and Chen Guoxing used the additional mass method to establish a pile-soil-pier-water model, and analyzed the effect of dynamic water pressure and water level change; He Xiaovu and Li Hongnan analyzed the structure's seismic response under the coupling effect of seismic ground motion and wave action, based on the Morison's formula and theory of rule wave. However, there are two problems in these studies: one is that the structure is simplified too much to consider the interaction among the soil, pile foundation and the pier structure; and the other one is that the effect of fluid is not simulated exactly, or even ignored. Therefore, it is quite necessary to find a 3D finite element model to simulate the pier-piles-soil-water wave and current system accurately and analyze the seismic response of the large bridge piers.

#### 2. WAVE AND OCEAN CURRENT ACTION

Presently, most research about the coupling effect of wave and deep-water structure under earthquake ground motion is based on the Morison formula. In the formula, the influence on wave motion caused by structure is ignored and the influence on the structure caused by wave motion is made up of the inertia force and the drag force along the wave motion direction. And then the inertia force and the drag force are caused by the undisturbed acceleration field and velocity field separately. The formula to calculate the wave force is as follows :

$$F_{w} = C_{M} \rho V \ddot{u} - C_{A} \rho V (\ddot{x} + \ddot{x}_{g}) + \frac{1}{2} C_{D} \rho D \Big[ (\dot{u} - \dot{x} - \dot{x}_{g}) | (\dot{u} - \dot{x} - \dot{x}_{g}) \Big]$$

$$F_{I} = C_{M} \rho V \ddot{u} - C_{A} \rho V (\ddot{x} + \ddot{x}_{g}) F_{D} = \frac{1}{2} C_{D} \rho D \Big[ (\dot{u} - \dot{x} - \dot{x}_{g}) | (\dot{u} - \dot{x} - \dot{x}_{g}) | \Big]$$
(2.1)

And

Where,  $\rho$  is water density, V is the structure volume under water, D is the structure's diameter, u and u are water's absolute acceleration and absolute velocity, x and x are structure's absolute acceleration and



absolute velocity,  $x_g$  is the earthquake ground motion acceleration,  $C_M$  is the hydrodynamic inertial force factor,  $C_D$  is the hydrodynamic viscosity damping coefficient,  $C_A$  is the additional mass factor, FI is the inertia force, FD is the drag force. And based on DNV Environment Conditions and Load Standard, u and u can be obtained by Stokes' fifth order wave theory. In Stokes fifth order wave theory, velocity potential:

$$\Phi = \frac{Lc}{2\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{5} D_n ch \frac{2\pi n}{L} z \sin 2\pi n (\frac{x}{L} - \frac{t}{T})$$
(2.2)

The horizontal absolute velocity of the water particle:

$$\dot{u} = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} = ce_1 \tag{2.3}$$

The horizontal absolute acceleration of the water particle:

$$\ddot{u} = \frac{2\pi c}{T} [-(1+e_1)e_3 + e_2 e_4]$$
(2.4)

The factors Di (i=1-5) and ei (i=1-4) between equation (2.2) and (2.4) can be found in literatures, its value relates to wavelength L, wave velocity c, period T, action time t, water depth d and the horizontal distance x between structure and the coordinates origin.

In engineering, the ocean current is always considered as a steady flow, whose action to the pile is just only the drag force; and the velocity of the ocean current varies slowly along the water depth, and then the velocity can be thought equivalent along the same vertical line. However, the coupling effect between wave and flow is so complicated that their drag forces can not be linear superposition simply. In current project design, the drag force can be calculated according to the following formula:

$$\mathbf{F}_{D} = \frac{1}{2} C_{D} \rho D (\mathbf{V} + \mathbf{V}_{c} - \dot{\mathbf{X}}_{s}) | \mathbf{V} + \mathbf{V}_{c} - \dot{\mathbf{X}}_{s} |$$
(2.5)

In the formula, V is wave velocity vector, Vc is flow velocity vector and Xs is the structure's absolute displacement vector. Suppose that the directions of wave, flow and earthquake ground motion are the same, the drag force can be simplified as a horizontal force as follows:

$$F_{D} = \frac{1}{2} \rho C_{D} D (\dot{u} + v_{c} - \dot{x} - \dot{x}_{g}) |\dot{u} + v_{c} - \dot{x} - \dot{x}_{g}|$$
(2.6)

# 3. THE SOIL-PILE-PIER MODEL CONSIDERING THE EFFECT OF WATER WAVE AND CURRENT

Taking the soil-piles-pier structure of a large span bridge across a channel as its research background, the large bridge pier's seismic response under the combination of water wave and ocean-current and earthquake ground motion is simulated exactly, and the schematic diagram about the sections of ocean-current direction, seabed, field soil, piles-pier is as Fig.1. The size of the pier is  $49.8m \times 27m \times 6m$ , whose concrete strength is C50, and the pile group under the pier are made up of 38 2.5m diameter, 112.5m length bored piles, with 85.5m in the soil and its concrete strength is C30. The longitudinal and horizontal spaces between piles are 6m and 5m respectively, and their arrangement mode is as Figure 2. The 100-year wave-current parameters of the field area are as Tab.1. According to Environment Conditions and Load Standard, it can be known that CD=1. 2, CM=2. 0, CA =1.0. On the basis of the ABAQUS software and parallel calculation technology, a soil-piles-pier model is established as Figure3, which uses the Zhuang Haiyang and Chen Guoxing's dynamic visco-plastic memorial nested the yield surface model to describe soil's dynamic characteristics. The parameter values in the model are as Tab.2 ,with the assumption that the soil is saturated and it is undrained during earthquake, and its passion ratio is 0.49. The whole region of the foundation soil is  $400m \times 240m \times 90.4m$ , and the effect on the wave caused by region truncation is considered as a 3D time-domain visco-elastic artificial boundary, which is developed



by Liu Jingbo and Wang Zhenyu et al, and there are 12810 spring, damping elements. The soil layer, whose shear wave velocity is more than 500m/s, is chosen as the bedrock surface. The piles are dispersed as the beam element, whose dynamic characteristics under cyclic loading are considered as Jeeho Lee's concrete dynamic plastic injury constitutive model(see Tab.3), and there are 2242 elements, 2280 nodes in all. The mass of superstructure is made up of the main tower and the half bridge deck of both sides, which is about 4.0×107kg.



Water level

Figure 1 the schematic diagram about the sections of ocean-current direction, seabed, field soil, piles-

				Table 1 wave-cu	urrent parameters	Vc	a 3
Return period	Wa	ve heigt	h/m	Wave period	Wave length	Wave velocity	Flow velocity
/year	$H_{1\%}$	$H_{4\%}$	$H_{13\%}$	T/s	<i>L/</i> m	$c/m \cdot s^{-1}$	m/s
100	6.62	5.75	4.81	8.23	84.60	Seatuband	2.19



Fig. 2 The plane schematic diagram of the piles

Fig. 3 The 3D bridge-piles finite element model

The Kobe wave, El centro wave and Loma Prieta wave are chosen as the input bedrock ground motion, and their acceleration time histories and fourier spectra are shown in Figure 4. In order to consider the effect of the ground motion intensity, there are two peak acceleration levels: 0.1g and 0.2g.

The dynamic equilibrium equation of the soil-piles-pier system considering the combination of earthquake ground motion and wave action is:

$$[M]{\dot{x}} + [C]{\dot{x}} + [K]{x} = -[M]{I}{\ddot{x}_{g}} + {F_{w}}$$
(3.1)

Where, [M], [C] and [K] are the mass matrix, the damp matrix and the stiffness matrix of the soil-piles-pier system respectively,  $\{I\}$  is the indication vector of the inertia force.



Soil types	thickness	density ( kg/m3 )	fhear wave velocity (m/s)	cohesion (kPa)	friction angle (°)
muddy silty clay	9.8	1700	115	10	5
muddy clay	6.8	1760	134	15	5
clay	11.9	1890	186	35	7
silty clay	14.4	1900	240	30	12
sandy silt	13.2	1960	323	15	21
silty fine sand	34.3	2000	415	5	30
compacting	12.6	2030	507	32	15

Table 2 The soil	narameters	in	the	model
Table 2 The soll	parameters	ш	ule	moder

Table 3 The concrete's dynamic parameters in the constitutive model

C30 concrete		C50 concrete			
Density p/kg.m-3	2500	Density p/kg.m-3	2550		
Elastic modulus E/MPa	3.0×104	Elastic modulus E/MPa	3.45×104		
passion ratio v	0.15	passion ratio $v$	0.18		
Expansion angle $\psi/0$	36.31	Expansion angle $\psi/0$	36.31		
Initial yield stress σc0/MPa	13.0	Initial yield stress σc0/MPa	14.5		
Limit stress ocu/MPa	24.1	Limit stress ocu/MPa	35.5		
Initial yield tensile stress ot0/MPa	2.9	Initial yield tensile stress	2.64		
Stiffness recovery factor wt	0	Stiffness recovery factor wt	0		
Stiffness recovery factor wc	1	Stiffness recovery factor wc	1		
Uniaxial compression injury variable dc	0	Uniaxial compression injury variable dc	0		
ξ	0.1	ξ	0.1		





03

#### 4. NUMERICAL SIMULATION AND ANALYSIS

#### 4.1. Analysis on The Eeffect of Wave-Current Effective

-0.15

-0.0

When the flow velocity is 2.19m/s, the wave height is H13%, the peak acceleration and the relative displacement response of the 1# pile body under different ground motion are shown in Fig.5 and Fig.6; the peak acceleration in the pile top of 1#, 4#, 12#, 15#, 16# and 19# is as Tab.4 under Kobe wave. The data above shows that: From the pile toe to the seabed surface, the peak acceleration of the pile body is gradually bigger, while the peak acceleration from the seabed surface to the pile top reduces obviously and it reaches the least in the pile top; conclusively, it can be known the effect on peak acceleration caused by flow-current is little. In addition, the peak displacement response of the pile body versus the pile toe increases gradually, when taking no account of the wave action, the pile's relative displacement peak along the water-current direction (positive) under the Kobe wave is greater than the one against the water-current direction (negative); though, the pile's relative displacement peak along the water-current direction is less than the one against the water-current direction evidently under the El centro wave and Loma Prieta wave. When the wave-current is considered, the pile body is impacted by the ocean-current, the relative displacement against the water-current direction of the pile body in water decreases, while the one along the water-curent direction increases obviously. Therefore, when the Kobe wave is inputted, the relative displacement peak is further increased by the wave-current, though when the El Centro wave and the Loma Prieta wave are inputted, the relative displacement peak is decreased.



• • • • 0.2g wave and curr 120 △ 0.2g wave and current 120 -0.17 0.17 0.05 0.15 -0.3 -0.2 -0.1 0 relative displacement(m) 0.1 relative displacement(m) relative displacement(m) (b) input El centro wave (a) input Kobe wave (c) input Loma Prieta wave Fig. 6 Relative displacement response of the 1# pile

·· Δ··· 0.20

and current

The moment response peak of the 1# pile body is as Figure 7. It can be seen that: it increases and then decreases from the pile toe to the seabed surface, and it reaches the maximum in the interface between silt clay and clay; over the seabed surface, the moment continues to reduce, but it increases obviously over 9m



from the seabed surface and the maximum value is on the pile top. When the Kobe wave and El centro wave are inputted, the wave-current effect makes the pile body increase evidently from the top to the 75.6 length, while the Loma Prieta wave is inputted, the wave-current effect on the pile body is little.

 Table 4
 Peak acceleration response of the pile top and its influence coefficient under Kobe wave

peak acceleration of input ground motion	condition	1#pile	4# pile	12# pile	15# pile	16# pile	19# pile
	Taking no account of the wave-current $(m/s^2)$	-0.435	-0.419	-0.436	-0.435	-0.434	-0.417
0.1g	Taking account of the wave-current (m/s <sup>2</sup> )	-0.463	-0.448	-0.466	-0.462	-0.462	-0.447
	$K_{a}$ (%)	6.44	6.92	6.88	6.20	6.20	7.19
	Taking no account of the wave-current $(m/s^2)$	-0.844	-0.837	-0.847	-0.873	-0.841	-0.828
0.2g	Taking account of the wave-current (m/s <sup>2</sup> )	-0.901	-0.882	-0.883	-0.923	-0.891	-0.874
	$K_a$ (%)	6.75	5.37	4.25	5.71	5.94	5.55



In order to analyze the wave-current effect on the pile top's peak acceleration conveniently, the influence factor K is defined as follows:

 $K = \frac{\text{the seismic response peak value taking account of the wave-current-the seismic response peak value taking no account of the wave-current}{\text{the seismic response peak value taking no account of the wave-current}} \times 100\%$ 

where, Ka, Kd and Km express the influence factor on the pile top acceleration peak, the pile top relative displacement peak and the moment peak.

The influence factors on the pile top acceleration peak are as Tab.4 under Kobe wave and Tab.5 contains the other influence factors. It is found that: the influence on the pile top acceleration is little, though the influence on the pile top relative displacement is great, and the value is less than 10% under the Loma Prieta wave, while it reaches 14.75% to 32.79% under the El centro wave and the Kobe wave. In addition, the effect on the pile top moment is little under the Loma Prieta wave, but it reaches 13.64% to 18.97% under the El centro wave and the Kobe wave. It shows that the wave-current's effect on the pile seismic response lies on the earthquake wave's characteristic.

#### 4.2. The Effect Caused by Wave Height and Flow Velocity

The wave flow condition of bridge piers in sea area is complicated, as the wave height and ocean current velocity are continuously changing, the time of earthquake occurrence is unexpected, the wave-current effect on bridge piers aslo changes dynamically. So it is necessary to analyze the influence of wave height and flow velocity on the seismic response of bridge piers. When the peak ground motion acceleration is 0.1g, sea current velocity Vc =2.19m/s, the seismic response peak of the 1# pier top taking account of the influence of wave



height is shown in Fig.8. It shows that wave height has the greatest influence on the pile relative displacement, the moderate influence on pile moment, and the least influence on the pile acceleration response peak; at the same time, the effect of wave height on the seismic response of piles relates to the characteristics of earthquake ground motion. The acceleration of the pile top, the relative displacement response and the moment response along the water-current direction increase with the wave height increasing under El Centro wave and Loma Prieta wave; but the acceleration of the pile top, the relative displacement and moment response along the water-current direction increase with the wave height decreasing under Kobe wave; the effect law of the wave height to the pile top's relative displacement against the water-current direction is exactly contrary to the one along the water-current direction.

the peak acceleration of the input ground motion		K <sub>a</sub> /%	$K_d$ /%		
			against the current direction	along The current direction	<i>K<sub>m</sub></i> /%
17 . 1	0.1g	6.44	-23.41	15.38	18.97
Kobe wave	0.2g	6.75	-14.75	23.74	13.64
	0.1g	-2.67	-16.27	22.36	17.72
El centro wave	0.2g	-1.33	-22.88	32.79	16.59
Loma Prieta wave	0.1g	-2.43	-9.37	23.40	0.51
	0.2g	-0.36	-6.46	19.14	1.08



Fig. 8 Relationship between the peak seismic response influence coefficient of1# pile top and the wave height



Fig.9 The relationship between the peak seismic response influence coefficient and the current velocity

When the acceleration peak of the ground motion is 0.1g, wave height H13%=4.81m; the effect on the peak seismic response of the 1# pier top taking account of the influence of ocean current is shown in Figure9. It can be seen that the flow velocity effect on the pile seismic response related to the earthquake wave's characteristics; the flow velocity's effect on the pier top acceleration decreases with the flow velocity increasing under El centro wave, but the flow velocity's effect on the acceleration increases a little with the flow velocity increasing under the Kobe wave and the Loma Prieta wave; the effect of flow velocity makes the



relative displacement peak along the water-current direction increasing, while the one against the water-current direction decreases, and the influence degree increases with the flow velocity increasing, the degree is especially significant under the Kobe wave; at the same time, the effect of flow velocity makes the pier moment increasing, and the increasing extent increases with the flow velocity increasing.

## 5. CONCLUSION

According to Stokes' fifth order wave theory, the wave force calculated by the Morison formula is loaded on the bridge pier in the form of distributed force, the nonlinear seismic response characteristics of large pile group foundation pier structure in deep water is analyzed, the main findings are as follows:

1. The wave and ocean current has little effect on the acceleration response of the pile body.

2. The wave and ocean current has great effect on relative displacement of the pile body. The wave and ocean current make the relative displacement against the water-current direction decreasing, and make the one along the water-current direction increasing. And the influence extent increases with the flow velocity increasing, but the change law with wave height is closely related to the earthquake ground motion characteristics.

3. The effect of wave and ocean current makes the pile moment increasing, and the influence extent increases with the flow velocity increasing, but the change law with wave height is closely related to the earthquake ground motion characteristics .

4. In order to ensure safety and reliability of large span bridges across channels and rivers it is necessary to take account of the influence of wave and ocean current in seismic design of large pile group foundation pier structure in deep water.

#### REFERENCES

C. Y. Liaw, A. K. Chopra. (1974), Dynamics of Towers Surrounded by Water [J]. *Earthq. Eng. and Structural Dynamics*. 3(1): 65-72.

Bang-Fuh, Chen. (1997), 3D Nonlinear Hydrodynamic Analysis of Vertical Cylinder during Earthquakes. I: Rigid Motion. *Engrg. Mech.*, ASCE, 123(5):458-465.

Yamada, Y., Kawano, K., Iemura, H., et al. (1988), Wave and earthquake response of offshore structures with soil-structure interaction. *The Japan Society of Civil Engineers*. 157-166.

Karadeniz, H. (1999), Spectral analysis of offshore structures under combined wave and earthquake loadings. *9th International Conf. on Offshore and Polar Eng.*. Brest: ISOPE, 504-511.

Fukusumi, T., Uchida, N., Takahashi, D. (2003), Response Characteristics of Soft Settled Type of Offshore Structures Subjected to Harmonic Sea Wave and Earthquake Wave. *13th International Conf. on Offshore and Polar Eng.*. Honolulu: International Society of Offshore and Polar Engineers, 1007-1012.

Etemad, A.K., Gharabaghi, A.R.M., Chenaghlou, M.R. (2004), Nonlinear dynamic behavior of fixed jacket-type offshore platforms subjected to simultaneously acting wave and earthquake loads. 23rd International Conf. on Offshore Mech. and Arctic Eng. Vancouver: American Society of Mechanical Engineers, 893-900.

Abbasi, M., Gharabaghi, A.R.M. (2007), Study the effect of wave directionality on dynamic nonlinear behavior of jack-up subjected to wave and earthquake loading. *26th International Conf. on Offshore Mech. and Arctic Eng.*. San Diego: American Society of Mechanical Engineers, 377-384.

Li Furong, Chen Guoxing, Wang Zhihua. (2008), Seismic responses of single-column pier considering the effects of hydrodynamic pressure. *Journal of Earthquake Engineering and Engineering Vibration*, 28(2).55-59.

He Xiaoyu, LI Hongnan. (2007), Research on piles with small dimension under the combination of seismic and wave action. *Journal of Earthquake Engineering and Engineering Vibration*, **27(5)**: 139-145.

Morison, J.R., O'Brien, M.P., Johnson, J.W., Schaaf, S.A. (1950), The force exerted to surface wave on piles. *Petroleum Transaction*, AME, 189: 149-154.

Skjelbreia, L., Hendrickson, J. A. (1961), Fifth order gravity wave theory. *Proc. Of 87th Coastal Engineering Conf.*, Vol.1.



Bai Degui. (2008), Seismic response analysis of bridge pier supported by pile foundation considering the effect of wave and current. Nanjing University of Technology.

Wang Tao, Li Jia-chun, Huhe Aode etc. (1995), Effect of Wave-current Interaction on Hydrodynamic Coeefficients . *Journal of hydrodynamics*, **10**(5):551-558.

Zhuang Haiyang, Chen Guoxing, Zhu Dinghua. (2006), Dynamic visco-plastic memorial nested yield surface model of soil and its verification. *Chinese Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, **28(10)**: 1267-1272.

Jeeho Lee, Gregory L. Fenves. (1998), Plastic-damage model for cyclic loading of concrete structures. *Journal of Engineering Mechanics*, (4):892-900.

Liu Jingbo, Wang Zhenyu, Du Xiuli etc. (2005), Three-dimensional visco-elastic artifical boundaries in time domain for wave motion problems. *Engineering Mechanics*, **22(6)**:46-51.