Research on Seismic Response Characteristics of Rigid Frame Bridges

Zhou Guoliang¹*, Qi Xingjun²

1. Institute of Engineering Mechanics, China Earthquake Administration, Harbin 150080, China

2. Civil engineering department of Shandong Jianzhu University, Jinan, 250101, China

1. Email: zgl_iem@163.com

ABSTRACT:

A survey is given for the development of rigid frame bridges with super high piers in China and the different structural characteristics are summarized between super-high-pier bridges and ordinary bridges. Based on the finite element model of a rigid frame bridge, modal analysis is performed, and then the earthquake response of bridge is calculated respectively by spectrum method and time history method. The differences of seismic response characteristics between high piers and low piers are analyzed. Structural style's influences on seismic response of piers with different heights are compared. And the strategies on vibration mitigation for low pier are investigated. The results indicate that the characteristics of piers' earthquake response differ complicatedly, and that the low piers and high piers suffer severer response respectively under longitudinal and transverse earthquake. It's also found that changing structural style and setting bearing-damper restrainers reasonably can effectively mitigate not only the vibration of piers but also the deformation of superstructures and the shear force of bearings.

KEY WORDS: bridge engineering, rigid frame bridge, finite element model, earthquake response, super high piers, vibration mitigation for low pier

1. Introduction

With rapid progress of Western Development in China, brilliant achievements have been procured in bridge engineering. Because of the complex landform in Western China, the bridges with long span and high piers play very important roles for their eximious capabilities of span and economical costs. In China recently, a series of super-high pier rigid frame bridges with the height of over 100 meters have been constructed as shown in table1^[1].

Name of	H or	Location	Height of piers	Name of	H or	Location	Height of piers
bridge	R	Location	(m)	bridge	R	Location	(m)
Red River	Н	Yunan	123	Taizao River	Н	Shanxi	123.5
Huatupo	R	Guizhou	110	Longtan River	Н	Hubei	178
Lizigou	R	Guizhou	107	Weijiazhou	Н	Hubei	114.2
Qingshuigou	R	Guizhou	100	Mashui River	Η	Hubei	143.2
Badu Nanpan	R	Guizhou	100	Dukou River	R	Hubei	128
Luo River	Н	Shanxi	143.5	Mashui River	R	Hubei	108

Table 1: The constructed rigid fame bridges with super high piers in China (H: Highway; R: Railway)

Fig.1 shows Red River Bridge built in 2003, from which it can be seen that the piers differ greatly in heights with discrepant height of beyond 100 meters! The different characteristics between super high-pier bridges and ordinary bridges can be described as:(1) The high-pier bridges exhibit large flexibility and small damping with very heavy superstructures. (2) The lateral stiffness of piers with different height differs greatly,

which leads to their distinct dynamic characteristics. (3) Geometric nonlinearity with large displacement in super high piers occurs under earthquakes, which induces buckling damage with a incresscent probability ^[2,3]. (4) The engineering site is charactered with complex geology and terrain; so the input ground motion of piers are different ^[4-6].



Fig.1 Red River Bridge in Yunnan province China

The current Codes for seismic design of highway engineering or railway engineering in China have not provided seismic designing specifications for this kind of bridge. So, it's necessary to study the seismic response characteristics of piers with different heights, and the research is surely significant in seismic design, appraisal and strengthening for this kind of bridges.

2. Bridge example and finite element model

2.1 Bridge example

A typical of existing Shanxi province highway rigid frame bridge is shown in Fig.2. Fortification intensity is 7 degree. Young's modulus is 34.5Gpa for superstructures and 32Gpa for piers; mass density $=2.5Mg/m^3$. The geometric configurations, and the thin-walled box piers and section of beam are given. A rubber bearing was set between p1 and superstructures.



2.2Finite element model

Based on actual structural styles, the finite element models are constructed, which consists of 680 elements. The piers and beams are modeled with spatial beam element and using springs simulates the roles of bearings with the precondition of no pounding between superstructures and abutments.

3.Modal analysis

Table2: Modal analysis results

No.	Freq.(HZ)	Mode	Characteris tic	No _.	Freq.(HZ)	Mode	Characteris tic
1	0.2074		Transverse	4	0.3417	1	Transverse
2	0.2323		Longitudin al	5	0.5149	\sim	Transverse
3	0.2933		Transverse	6	0.6504		Longitudin al

The modal analysis results are shown in table2. The results indicate that 4 of the former 6 modes show transverse free vibration including the first mode. And the natural frequency is much lower in super-high-pier bridges than ordinary bridges.

4. Seismic response analysis

4.1 Input ground motion

Based on the seismic safety evaluation report of engineering sites ^[7], acceleration response spectrum and artificial time history ground motion with the peak of 100gal are given. The direction of input ground motion can be described as: case1:x+z,z=2x/3, case2: y+z,z=2y/3^[8], in which x, y and z respectively represent longitudinal, transverse and vertical coordinate.





Fig.4: Artificial ground motion

Fig.3: Spectrum 4.2 Response spectra analysis

Table 3: Results of spectra analysis (unit: 10 ³ kN,m)													
	Pier	Location	Р	$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{x}}$	$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{y}}$	$\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{x}}$	$\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{y}}$		Р	$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{x}}$	$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{y}}$	$\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{x}}$	$\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{y}}$
- Case1	n 1	Тор	4.0	1.1	0	0	0	Case2 -	3.7	0.1	3.9	0	0
	pı	Bottom	4.2	3.2	0	0	83.3		4.0	0.1	4.6	159.7	1.5
	2	Тор	5.9	2.6	0	0	100.2		6.2	0.9	2.4	15.1	38.7
	p2	Bottom	7.8	3.8	0	0	188.1		7.5	1.4	3.3	197.1	32.9
	n2	Тор	6.6	3.8	0	0	143.7		5.5	1.6	2.5	22.2	32.7
	Р 2	Bottom	7.9	4.5	0	0	207.7		7.4	2.3	4.3	165.1	77.3
	p4	Тор	6.5	4.3	0	0	149.2		6.1	1.31	1.47	17.70	68.2
		Bottom	7.6	5.1	0	0	209.9		7.2	2.06	2.35	115.81	47.1

The results indicate that the directions of input earthquake have obvious influences on rigid frame bridges. It can be described as: (1) The response under transverse earthquake is much more complex than that under longitudinal earthquake. The transverse response of structures under longitudinal earthquake M_x and V_y are quite trivial; However the longitudinal response of structures under transverse earthquake M_y and V_x could not be neglected. (2) Under longitudinal earthquake, the lower piers (P4) rigidly connected with the superstructures undergo severe response. (3) Under transverse earthquake, the higher piers undergo severe response.

4.3Time history analysis and strategies on vibration mitigation

As has been demonstrated, low and high piers undergo severe response respectively under longitudinal and transverse earthquake. Accordingly it is necessary to study vibration mitigation for piers. In this study three projects (Viz. rigid connection, setting bearing, and setting bearing-damper restrainers between p1 and superstructures) are adopted to be compared with each other. Bearings are modeled by linear springs with the stiffness of 10^4 kN/m ^[9]; Dampers are set in two directions shown in Fig5. Damping coefficient *c* ranges from 10^3 to 10^4 kN·sec/m at the interval of 10^3 kN ·sec/m. The vibration reduction ratio f can be defined as $f=(r_o-r_a)/r_o \times 100\%$.

Where, r_o and r_a respectively denote the response without dampers and with dampers.



Fig5: Collocation of bearing and dampers



piers (case2)

The results indicate: (1) Compared with fixed connection, the response of low piers was $2/3 \sim 3/4$ reduced with setting bearings (fig6、8); however the response of high piers was $1/4 \sim 1/3$ magnified (fig7、9).(2) Setting two-dimensional dampers could effectively mitigate not only the forces of high piers and deformation of beam but also the relative deformation between low pier and superstructures(fig10、11).(3) The shear force of bearing was much decreased and the shear force reduction ratio was heightened with increscent damping coefficient (Fig.12、13). (4)With the increscent damping coefficient, vibration reduction ratio of moments of piers enhanced gradually .However, vibration reduction ratio increased inconspicuously when it reached a value (about 5×10^3 KN*sec/m in this study)(Fig14、15). Although large damping coefficient can heighten vibration reduction ratio and costs ^[10]. Only a proper coefficient can archive satisfying effect.

5.Conclusions

- (1) Super-high-pier rigid frame bridges are charactered with transverse free vibration including the first mode with low natural frequency obviously.
- (2) The directions of input ground motion have distinct influences on response of super-high-pier rigid frame bridges. Under transverse earthquake, the structures show more complex response. Low piers and high piers undergo severer response respectively under longitudinal and transverse earthquake.
- (3) Changing the connection styles between low pier and superstructures as well as setting bearing-damper restrainers with proper damping coefficient can effectively mitigate the response of piers and the deformation of superstructures. Generally speaking, bearing-damper restrainer is an effective measure for vibration mitigation.

Acknowledgements:

This research is granted by National Natural Science Fund (NO.50708100) and the Institute of Engineering Mechanics Fund (NO.2007B02).

Nomenclature

x= longitudinal coordinate	P =axial force	V_x = longitudinal shear force
y=transverse coordinate	M_x = moment about x coordinate	V_y = transverse shear force
z=vertical coordinate	$\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{y}}$ = moment about y coordinate	

References

- [1] Zhou Guoliang. Research on seismic response of continues rigid frame bridges with high piers and long span [D].Peking: Dissertation for master degree, Institute of Physical Geography China Earthquake Administration, 2004.7
- [2] Wang Zhenyang; Zhao Yu; Xu Xing. Three dimension stability of bridge with high pier [2003]. *Journal of Xi'an Highway University*, 23(4):38~42
- [3] Sun Limin; You Xinpeng; Wei Chaozhu. Analysis of the collapse of high-pier bridges crossing deep valleys of mountain area under earthquake [2005]. *Earthquake Resistant Engineering*, 27(12Z): 108~113
- [4] Wang Xiaoguo. Analysis of interaction between bridge and local site [D].Chengdu: Southwest jiaotong university, 1997.7
- [5] Qi Xingjun; Li Xiaojun; Zhou Guoliang. Influence analysis of seismic traveling wave effect on semi-active control for long-span rigid-continuous bridge [2006]. Acta seismologica sinica, 28(2):190~196
- [6] Anastasios G.Sextos; Andreas J.Kappos; Kyriazis D. P itilakis(2003). Inelastic dynamic analysis of RC bridge accounting for spatial variability of ground motion, site effects and soil-structure interaction phenomena. [J]. *Earthquake engineering and structural dynamics*, 32:607~652
- [7] Seismic safety evaluation report of engineering site . Peking: Institute of Physical Geography China Earthquake Administration. 2004
- [8] Fan Lichu; Nie Liying; LI Jianzhong. Dynamic characteristic analysis of laminated rubber bearing sliding under earthquake [2003]. *China Journal of Highway and Transport*,16(4):30~35
- [9] Wan Jia; Wang Lan; Xuan Yan. Simulation research on the dynamics performances of the viaducts of urban rail transit [2004]. *China Railway Science*, 25(4):90~93
- [10] Zhang Wenxue; Su Mubiao; Li Jianzhong. Research on transceivers vibration control of rail way light-pier with MTMD [2006]. *Journal of Vibration and Shock*, 25(1):128~132