LIGO-G1401146-v1

# Control system in Gravitational Wave Detectors

Koji Arai / Stan Whitcomb – LIGO Laboratory / Caltech

Gravitational wave detection
 Laser displacement sensor
 Requires linear displacement detection

Control for measurement
 Laser interferometer = nonlinear device
 Feedback control => linearization

- What is the feedback control?
  - A scheme to monitor and modify output(s) of a system by changing the input(s) depending on the output(s)
  - Examples
    - Shower temperature
    - Car driving
    - Tight rope walking
  - Imagine what happens
    - If the response is too slow?
    - If the response is too fast?

- Air conditioning
- Bike riding
- Inverted bar on a hand

#### Elements of a feedback loop



Sensor:

Transducer for displacement-to-voltage conversion

If the sensor is completely linear

(and has or no frequency dependence)



In reality:

Sensors, laser interferometers in particular, are nonlinear!



- Enclose the operating point in the linear region
   => The system recovers linearity
- Was the displacement modified by the feedback?
   => Precise knowledge of the control system
  - for signal reconstruction

#### Elements of a feedback loop



$$\begin{split} &dx_{s} = dx - G dx_{s} \\ \Rightarrow dx_{s} = dx / (1+G) \\ \Rightarrow dx = V_{err} (1+G) / H \\ &dx = V_{fb} A (1+G) / G \end{split}$$

**Open loop transfer function** 

## • When G is small: disturbance $dX_s$ stabilized disturbance $dX \rightarrow H \rightarrow V_{err}$ actuator A sensor F servo filter feedback signal $V_{fb} \rightarrow$

$$dx_{s} = dx - G dx_{s}$$

$$\Rightarrow dx_{s} = dx / (1+G)$$

$$\Rightarrow dx = V_{err} (1+G) / H$$

$$dx = V_{fb} A (1+G) / G$$

#### **Open loop transfer function**



- When the openloop gain G is >>1, the error signal gets suppressed
- "Wow! our sensor signal became smaller!" Is our system more sensitive now?
  - No. We are just moving the actuator so that the error signal looks smaller. The signal and noise are equally suppressed in the error signal. Thus the SNR does not change.
- OK... So can we still measure gravitational waves even if the error signal is almost zero?
  - Yes. We should be able to recover the original signal by compensating the effect of the control i.e. (1+G)
  - And we can also use the feedback signal in order to reconstruct the original signal with appropriate compensation i.e. (1+G)/G

- Important difference between
  - "Feedback control for stabilization" and "Feedback control for measurement"
  - Feedback control changes the stabilized motion but reconstructed Disturbance is not modified by the loop\* (\*if everything is linear)



A deterministic and time-invariant system: H



• The system H is LTI (linear & time-invariant) when  $y_1(t) = H \{x_1(t)\}$   $y_2(t) = H \{x_2(t)\}$  $\implies \alpha y_1(t) + \beta y_2(t) = H \{\alpha x_1(t) + \beta x_2(t)\}$ 

 We can deal with such a system using Laplace transform (or almost equivalently Fourier Transform)

#### Time domain vs Laplace (or Fourier) frequency domain



 It is easy to convert from an ordinary differential equation to a transfer function

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Longrightarrow s$$

$$\implies i\omega = i2\pi f$$
Fourier Transform

e.g. Damped oscillator

$$m\ddot{x}(t) = -kx(t) - \gamma\dot{x}(t) + F(t)$$
$$ms^{2}X(s) = -kX(s) - \gamma sX(s) + F(s)$$
$$H(s) \equiv \frac{X(s)}{F(s)} = \frac{1}{ms^{2} + \gamma s + k}$$



e.g. RC filter



cut-off freq

w = 1/(R\*C)

In most cases, a system TF can be expressed as:

$$H(s) = \frac{b_0 + b_1 s + b_2 s^2 + \dots + b_m s^m}{a_0 + a_1 s + a_2 s^2 + \dots + a_n s^n}$$

The roots of the numerator are called as "zeros" and the roots of the denominator are called as "poles"

$$H(s) = \frac{b_m \prod_{i=1}^m (s - s_{zi})}{a_n \prod_{j=1}^n (s - s_{pj})}$$

- Zeros (s<sub>zi</sub>) and poles (s<sub>pi</sub>) are real numbers (single zeros/poles)
  - or

pairs of complex conjugates (complex zeros/poles)

In most cases, a system TF can be expressed as:

$$H(s) = \frac{b_0 + b_1 s + b_2 s^2 + \dots + b_m s^m}{a_0 + a_1 s + a_2 s^2 + \dots + a_n s^n}$$

The roots of the numerator are called as "zeros" and the roots of the denominator are called as "poles"

$$H(s) = \frac{b_m \prod_{i=1}^m (s - s_{zi})}{a_n \prod_{j=1}^n (s - s_{pj})}$$

- Zeros (s<sub>zi</sub>) and poles (s<sub>pi</sub>) are real numbers (single zeros/poles)
  - or

pairs of complex conjugates (complex zeros/poles)

Poles rule the stability of the system!
 H(s) can be rewritten as

$$H(s) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{K_j}{(s - s_{pj})}$$

Each term imposes exponential time impulse response

T.F.: 
$$H_j(s) = \frac{1}{s + s_{pj}} \iff \text{I.R.: } h_j(t) = e^{s_{pj}t}$$

Therefore, if there is ANY pole with Re(s<sub>pj</sub>) > 0
 the response of the system diverges

#### Poles rule the stability of the system!



Figure 12: Root locus for different<sup>®</sup>arrangements of the eigen values

http://nupet.daelt.ct.utfpr.edu.br/\_ontomos/paginas/AMESim4.2.o/demo/Misc/la/SecondOrder/SecondOrder.htm

Now we eventually came back to this diagram



## <u>Requirement:</u>

All the roots for 1+G should be in the left hand side of Laplace plane

#### Remarks

#### **Requirement:**

All the roots for 1+G should be in the left hand side of Laplace plane

- This does not mean all H, F, A needs to be stable. e.g. Unstable mechanical system A can be stabilized by a control loop. (cf. An inverted Rod)
- **Open loop TF:** We usually play with F to tune the result. It is awkward to evaluate the stability **Closed loop TF:** of 1/(1+G) every time.  $G_{CI} = 1/(1+G)$ There is a way to tell the stability only from G

Nyquist's stability criterion

G = HFA

Nyquist stability criterion

- Plot openloop gain G in a complex plane (i.e. Nyquist diagram)
- If the locus of G(f) from f=o to ∞, goes to o looking at the point (-1 + o i) at the left side => Stable
- If the locus sees the point (-1+0 i) at the right side => Unstable





- Unity gain frequency f<sub>UGF</sub>:
- Phase margin θ:
- Gain margin g:

for  $|G(f_{UGF})| = 1$  $\vartheta = \operatorname{Arg}(G(f_{UGF}))$  $g = 1/|G(f_o)|$  where  $\operatorname{Arg}(G(f_o)) = -\pi$ 

#### Phase Margin / Gain Margin in Bode diagram

• Most of the case, a bode diagram of G is enough to see the stability



#### Summary

- Classical control theory
- Design locations of poles and zeros
- Stability: tuning of open loop transfer function is important

# Control system components in GW detectors

#### **Control systems**

Elements of a feedback loop (again)



#### Interferometer control system

#### Local control vs global control



#### Shadow sensor (relative displacement sensor)

- For suspension damping control, mirror attitude monitor
- Typical linear range ~1mm for o-1oV => dV/dx = 10 kV/m
   Typical noise level: ~ 100 pm/sqrtHz



L Carbone, Class. Quantum Grav. 29 (2012) 115005

Adjustment fixings (x2)

#### Shadow sensor (relative displacement sensor)



- Linear Variable Differential Transducer (relative disp. sensor)
- For low freq pendulum control (inverted pendulum), larger range
   VIRGO Super attenuator, KAGRA Seismic Attenuation System



H. Tariq, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 489 (2002) 570-576

**Optical Lever (relative angular sensor)** 

Angle local control

 Typical linear range ~beam side (0.1~1 mm) => dV/dθ = 1 ~10 kV/rad Typical noise level: 0.01~1 nrad/sqrtHz



- Piezo Accelerometer (<u>Inertial sensor</u>)
  - Vibration measurement
  - Typical linear range ~ 100~1000 m/s<sup>2</sup>
     Typical noise level: 0.5 ~ 50 (μm/s<sup>2</sup>)/sqrtHz



#### Servo Accelerometer (Inertial sensor)

Seismic platform control (f>0.1Hz), Vibration measurement



Apply force to the suspended mass

=> Keep the distance from a reference

When the control gain G>>1

#### Servo Accelerometer (Inertial sensor)

- Above the resonant freq: Limited by the sensor noise
- Below the resonant freq:

Steep rise of the noise as the mass does not move in relative to the ground => Low resonant freq is beneficial



A. Bertolini et al, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 564 (2006) 579–586





#### Acutuators

#### **Mechanical actuators**

- Coil Magnet actuator
- Electro Static Driver (ESD)
- Piezo (PZT) actuator
- Optical actuators
  - Acousto-Optic Modulator
  - Electro-Optic Modulator
  - Laser Frequency

#### **Acutuators** (Mechanical)

- **Coil-magnet actuator** 
  - Coil current induces force on a magnet attached to a mass
  - Contactless

- aLIGO coil-magnet actuator is integrated in BOSEM
- Actuator response (coupling)

   has position dependence.
   Preferable to use it at its maximum
   in order to avoid vibration coupling



Distance from cent. of coil to cent. of mag. (mm)

#### **Acutuators** (Mechanical)

- Electro Static Driver (ESD)
  - Apply potential close to the mirror
     => induces surface charge (or polarization) and attractive force
  - In practice, comb patterns are used
     => strengthen the electric field, but less force range
  - Can produce only attractive force. => Need DC Bias.
  - Stray surface charging may cause problems.





#### **Acutuators** (Mechanical)

#### Piezo (PZT) actuator

- Apply potential to a feroelectric material
   => cause internal polarization and induces strain
- To increase displacement, laminated piezo is often used
   => displacement 3~10 µm
- Requires a bias voltage and HV amplifier, but has wide applications



#### **OMC cavity mirror**



#### **Acutuators** (Optical)

#### **Acousto-Optic Modulator**

- Phonon-Photon scattering (or bragg diffraction) in AOM crystal
- Effect: Beam deflection / Frequency shift
- Application: Laser frequency actuator, Laser intensity actuator Beam angle scanner



#### **Acutuators** (Optical)

- **Electro-Optic Modulator** 
  - Pockels Cell effect:

**Refractive index changes linearly to the applied E-field** 

Application:

- Laser phase modulation
- Phase actuation (= frequency actuation)



LiNbO<sub>3</sub> crystal

#### **Acutuators** (Optical)

- Laser frequency actuation (YAG NPRO laser)
- We often control laser frequency with multiple actuators
- I) Thermal actuator

Thermo-Electric Cooler attached to the laser crystal. Huge response (1GHz/K or 1GHz/V) but slow (f<0.1Hz)

2) Fast piezo actuator

A piezo attached on the laser crystal induces stress induced refractive index change.

Response (~1MHz/V). Bandwidth 10~100kHz

3) External EOM

Response (~10 mrad/V), Bandwidth ~1MHz

## **Servo Controller**

#### Analog servo filters

- High dynamic range (~1nV/sqrtHz, +/-1oV), High bandwidth
- Pole/zero placement with active op-amp filters
- Until the end of the 20<sup>th</sup> century, analog filters have been commonly used for servo filters in our field
- Analog servos are still in action for the feedback loops with bandwidth >1kHz. (cf. frequency stabilization, intensity stabilization)

#### LIGO 40m prototype (1998)



### **Servo Controller**

- **Digital servo filters** 
  - Process digitized signals in a computer



- Large flexibility High compatibility with detector automation and management
- Limited dynamic range (~0.1mV/sqrtHz, +/-1oV for 16bit)
- Limited bandwidth
  - Each sample needs to be processed before the next sampled data comes
  - Inevitable sampling delay
  - Additional phase delays due to analog filters for analog-digital interface
  - e.g. 16kHz sampling, control bandwidth ~200Hz

## **Analog/Digital interface**

- Restriction of signal digitization
  - Voltage quantization: quantization noise
     => limited dynamic range
     => Requires whitening/dewhitening filters
  - Temporally discrete sampling: aliasing problem
     => limited signal bandwidth
     => Requires anti-aliasing (AA) / anti-imaging (AI) filters

#### Typical signal chain



#### **Control room**

Comparison of the control room in the analog and digital eras



aLIGO (2014)

#### **TAMA300 (2001)**



Interferometer sensing and control

## **Global control**

Interferometer control using the main laser beam

 On the top of the local control, optical path lengths and the mirror alignment need to be kept at the most sensitive state of the interferometer



## **Cavity length control**

#### Pound-Drever-Hall (PDH) technique

- We want to keep the cavity at the TOP of the resonance
- Phase of the cavity reflection is linear to the cavity detuning
- Use modulation / demodulation Pow Cavity power (%) 80 Reflected Polarizing Beam Phase 20FP Cavity Isolator Laser Splitter 2/4 Modulator -2020 -4040<u>–</u>60 0 60 30 Phase Reflected phase (deg.) 20Cavity 10 Servo Oscillator Amp Ref -20Phase Photodetector -30shifter -60-40-200 20 4060 0.80.6 <sup>2</sup>DH readout (arb.) ror signa 0.40.2HOd 0.0 Lowpass Mixer -0.2filter -0.4-0.6 -0.8L -60 -40-2020 4060  $f - f_{res}$  (MHz) Detuning

#### Drever, R. W. P., et al Appl Phys B 31 97-105 (1983)

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pound%E2%80%93Drever%E2%80%93Hall\_technique http://www.sjsu.edu/faculty/beyersdorf/Archive/Phys208F07/Sideband%20generation%20in%20LIGO.pdf

## **PDH control signal**



#### Phaser diagram



## **PDH control signal**

#### PDH technique



## **Michelson length control**

- Michelson is operated at the dark fringe for the shot noise and the power recycling
  - At the dark fringe, DC signals can't be a good error signal
  - Schunupp asymmetry: Introduce small arm length asymmetry

=> RF sidebands leaks to the dark port



## aLIGO length control

#### ...In the end, combining these techniques

with multiple modulations, the sensing system looks like this



### Lock Acquisition: Real and Simulated

- Transition from non-operational state to the final linear state
  - Nonlinear process ~ Lock Acquisition



 Before the lock, we can't make a diagnosis of the control loops Without diagnosis, the lock is difficult. (Chicken & egg problem)

## Angular global control

- **Wave Front Sensing** 
  - Misalignment between the incident beam and the cavity axis
  - The carrier is resonant in the cavity
  - The reflection port has
    - Prompt reflection of the modulation sidebands
    - Prompt reflection of the carrier
    - Leakage field from the cavity internal mode

no signal

spatially distributed amplitude modulation



E Morrison et al Appl Optics 33 5041-5049 (1994)

## Angular global control

- **Wave Front Sensing** 
  - WFS becomes sensitive when there is an angle between the wave fronts of the CA and SB
  - Can detect rotation and translation

     of the beam separately,
     depending on the "location" of the sensor





## aLIGO angular control

Combine WFS, DC QPD, digital CCD cameras



#### Summary

- Feedback control is an indispensable system for laser interferometer GW detectors
   It involves sensors, actuators, and filters,
  - namely everything!
  - (i.e. Optics, Mechanics, Electronics)

