Minutes of the CCCC meeting held on 18.12.2012 in CC Conference room.

Members present: Madhav Ranganathan, Sovan Das, T. Muthukumar, Sanjay Gupta, Sanjev Garg, Harsh Gupta, Sanchit Khattam, Rakesh Kumar, Kumar Vaibhav, Shashank Shekar, H. Warane, K.S. Singh, Aftab Alam, GopeshTiwari, Brajesh Pande, Saikat Hira, A. Dutta.

Head, CC welcomed all the members.

- 1. A list of all requests for software purchase received by CC was presented to the members for discussion. The following are the views of the members in each case.
 - a) End Note: This software would be very useful for faculty and students for preparing thesis and papers. However most members were of the opinion that we should negotiate for an institute license rather than purchase only 5 licenses as suggested. It was also pointed out that End Note may now be available with the latest version of MS Office.
 - b) Fact sage: The MSE Department representative informed that theymay be able to support a part of the software cost. Several members felt that instead of a license for 6 years we could initially purchase a license for 3 years. It was agreed that CC could provide U\$4000 and the rest could be supported from the MSE department.
 - c) **FRED Optical Engineering Software**: As the CELT representative did not have exact details, is was decided to discuss this case in the next CCCC meeting.
 - d) **Micro Magus Package**: As the departmental representative was not present it was decided to discuss this case in the next CCCC meeting.
 - e) **CHEMKIN PRO**: It was agreed to first purchase 1 user license for one year andlater more licenses could be purchased, if required. However the single user license should be a floating license type so that it can be hosted in CC.
 - f) MDFEA (Nashtron): A perpetual license for this software is already installed in CC(with50 users). The members felt that it should further be clarified how this software compares withother existing FEA software in CC such as Ansys, Abacus etc. Also it was noticed that the upgrade price for additional licensees was almost equal to a fresh purchase price.
 - g) **Upgrade ANSYS on HPC**: No details were presented by the department representative. Hence this was not discussed.
 - h) **COMSOL**: Details of price was requested from the concerned department.
 - i) **Ithenticate**: This would be very useful to all users for checking their manuscript / thesis etc. However the quoted price of US \$50 per manuscript was found to be

very high. It was suggested that we first explore the possibility of getting an institute license for a large number of users at a lower price.

2. The convener, Student's senate requested vide letter reference no CONV/AdiG/0808/13 dt. 8th Aug 2012 that the student representatives are presently allowed to send emails only to students lists. However there are various emails that are of interest to much smaller groups (e.g. ug07, pg etc.). Hence student representatives should be allowed to send email directly to a smaller domain (e.g. ug08, mtech, etc).

Most members agreed to this request. The student representatives will now be allowed to send emails to a much smaller domain (e.g. ug08, etc).

(Dr. Ashish Dutta)

Head, CC