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Fact #2: Supercomputing Applications

- Used in applications requiring very large compute time
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- Important applications:
  - Engineering simulations (FM, CFD, structures)
  - Biology (Genetics, cell structure, molecular biology)
  - Nuclear physics, high energy particle physics, astrophysics, weather prediction, molecular dynamics
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  - Financial services, data-mining, web services, data centers, search engines
  - Entertainment industry, animation, gaming
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- These applications require enormous compute power
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These applications require enormous compute power

The compute power is available

Where is the CHALLENGE/GAP?
The biggest challenge: how do we program these machines?

Solving these complex problems, and programming these architectures require different methodology

Better algorithms, compilers, libraries, profilers, application tuners, debuggers etc. have to be designed

Software systems (algorithms, compilers, libraries, debuggers) AND programmers (mainly trained in sequential programming) are unable to exploit the compute power
Challenge

- The largest user base is outside computer science domain
- Engineers and scientists should not be spending their energy in understanding machine architecture, concurrency and language issues
  - They want to solve their problems
  - They are domain experts and not system experts
Power of supercomputers comes from

- Hardware technology: faster processors and memories, more cache, low latency between devices
Power of supercomputers comes from

- **Hardware technology**: faster processors and memories, more cache, low latency between devices
- **Multilevel architectural parallelism**
  - **Pipeline**: out of order execution
  - **Vector**: handles arrays with a single instruction
  - **Parallel**: lot of processors each capable of executing an independent instruction stream
  - **VLIW**: handles many instructions in a single cycle
  - **Clusters**: large number of processors on a very fast network
  - **Multicore**: lot of processors on a single chip
  - **Grid**: Cooperation of a large number of systems
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- **Structured**: identical tasks on different data sets
- **Unstructured**: different data streams and different instructions
- **Algorithm level**: appropriate algorithms and data structures
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  - Specify parallelism in parallel languages
- **Expressing parallelism in programs**
  - No good programming languages
  - Most applications are not multi threaded
  - Writing multi-threaded code increases software cost
  - Programmers are unable to exploit whatever little is available
State of the Art

- Current software technology is unable to handle all these issues
- Most of the programming still happens in Fortran/C/C++ using parallel APIs
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Therefore, RESTRUCTURING COMPILERS ARE REQUIRED
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Determines speed up

$\alpha$: fraction of code in scalar

$1 - \alpha$: fraction of code which is parallelizable

1 operation per unit time in scalar unit

$\tau$ operations per unit time in parallel units

\[
\text{Speed-up} = \frac{1}{\alpha + \frac{1 - \alpha}{\tau}}
\]

$0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and $\tau \geq 1$
Amdahl’s Law

- Scalar component of the code is the limiting factor
- Parallel code must be greater than 90% to achieve any significant speedup
- Most of the execution time is spent in small sections of code
  - concentrate on critical sections (loops)
- Loop parallelization is the most beneficial
- Automatic parallelization must focus on the loops
Loop Optimization

- Loop unrolling, jamming, splitting
- Induction variable simplification

- Loop interchange
- Node splitting
- Loop skewing
- Conversion to parallel loops

- Inspector Executor parallelization
- Speculative parallelization
- Use APIs like OpenMP, MPI, PVM, Cuda etc.
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How does compiler perform these optimizations?
### Parallelization: Programmers vs. Compilers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
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</tr>
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<tbody>
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<tr>
<td><strong>Working Set</strong></td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accuracy</strong></td>
<td>Makes mistakes</td>
<td>Accurate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
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Can compilers become as good as programmers?

Source: Amarsinghe et al
Class of Problems

- Dense data and regular fetch patterns
  - Basic Linear Algebra Systems
  - Use loop optimizations

- Sparse/dense data and irregular fetch patterns
  - N-body simulation, molecular dynamics, Charmm, Discover, Moldyn, Spice, Dyna-3D, Pronto-3D, Gaussian, Dmol, Fidap
  - Inspector-executor model for parallelization
  - Speculative parallelization
Compiler Structure

Source Program

Front End

Checks program for correctness (with respect to the language specification)

Back end

Generates target Machine code

Target Machine code
Compiler Structure

Source Program

Front End
Checks program for correctness (with respect to the language specification)

Code optimizer (optional)
Redundancy removal
And
Machine specific optimizations

Back end
Generates target Machine code
Target Machine code
Compiler Structure

Compiler does not understand the application!
Matrix Multiplication: version 1

for i = 1 to n do
    for j = 1 to n do
        for k = 1 to n do
            c[i,j] += a[i,k] * b[k,j]
        endfor
    endfor
endfor
**Matrix Multiplication: version 1**

for i = 1 to n do
  for j = 1 to n do
    for k = 1 to n do
      c[i,j] += a[i,k] * b[k,j]
    endfor
  endfor
endfor

- $n^3$ iteration
- Each cell computation requires $n$ multiplications and $n$ additions
- Total $n^3$ multiplications and additions
- Sequential execution time: \( \sim 80 \text{ seconds} \) (for $n=1500$ on a dual core laptop)
Matrix Multiplication: version 1

for i = 1 to n do
    for j = 1 to n do
        for k = 1 to n do
            c[i,j] += a[i,k] * b[k,j]
        endfor
    endfor
endfor

- $n^3$ iteration
- Each cell computation requires $n$ multiplications and $n$ additions
- Total $n^3$ multiplications and additions
- Sequential execution time: $\sim 80$ seconds (for $n=1500$ on a dual core laptop)

### Data Access Pattern

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{C} & = \text{X} \\
\text{A} & \hspace{1cm} \text{B}
\end{align*}
\]
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Matrix Multiplication: version 2

for i = 1 to n do
    for k = 1 to n do
        for j = 1 to n do
            c[i,j] += a[i,k] \times b[k,j]
        endfor
    endfor
endfor

Each row computation requires $n^2$ multiplications and $n^2$ additions.

Total $n^3$ multiplications and additions.

Sequential execution time: \(\sim 26\) seconds (for \(n=1500\) on a dual core laptop)

Data Access Pattern

C = A \times B

How do we know that version 2 computes the same result as version 1?
Matrix Multiplication: version 3

omp_set_num_threads(omp_get_num_procs());
#pragma omp parallel for private(j,k)
for i = 1 to n do
  for j = 1 to n do
    for k = 1 to n do
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Total n^3 multiplications and additions
Parallel execution time: ∼50 seconds (for n=1500 on a dual core laptop)
Uses more than one processor!
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- Compilers can easily transform version 1 of the program into version 2 and version 3 to improve performance
- However, they need to do Data Dependence Analysis to establish equivalence of the two versions
**Data Dependence Analysis: Example**

```plaintext
for i = 1, n
    a[i] = b[i]  \hspace{1cm} S1
    c[i] = a[i] + b[i]  \hspace{1cm} S2
    e[i] = c[i+1]  \hspace{1cm} S3
    a[i] = i * i  \hspace{1cm} S4
endfor
```

- S1 writes into a[i] which is read by S2 in the same iteration
- S3 reads from c[i+1] which is overwritten by S2 in the next iteration
- S1 writes into a[i] which is overwritten by S4 in the same iteration
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c[i] & = a[i] + b[i] \quad \text{S2} \\
e[i] & = c[i+1] \quad \text{S3} \\
a[i] & = i \times i \quad \text{S4}
\end{align*}
\]
endfor

- \textbf{Flow dependence}: When a variable is assigned value in one statement and used in a subsequent statement
- \textbf{Anti dependence}: When a variable is used in one statement and reassigned in a subsequent statement
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for i = 1, n
    a[i] = b[i]        S1
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    a[i] = i * i      S4
endfor

- S1 writes into a[i] which is read by S2 in the same iteration
- S3 reads from c[i+1] which is overwritten by S2 in the next iteration
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- Flow dependence: When a variable is assigned value in one statement and used in a subsequent statement
- Anti dependence: When a variable is used in one statement and reassigned in a subsequent statement
- Output dependence: When a variable is assigned in one statement and reassigned in a subsequent statement
- Presence of dependence between two statements prevents optimization
Consider a loop

\[
\text{for } i = 1, n \\
    X[ f(i) ] = \ldots \text{ S1} \\
    \ldots = X[ g(i) ] \text{ S2} \\
\text{endfor}
\]

There is a dependence from S1 to S2 if there are instances \( i_1 \) and \( i_2 \) of \( i \) such that

\[
1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq N \quad \text{and} \quad f(i_1) = g(i_2)
\]

there is an iteration \( i_1 \) in which S1 writes into X, and a subsequent (or the same) iteration \( i_2 \) in which S2 reads from the same element of X.
Data Dependence Analysis

- If f and g are general functions, then the problem is intractable.
- If f and g are linear functions of loop indices then to test dependence we need to find values of two integers $l_1$ and $l_2$ such that

$$1 \leq l_1 \leq l_2 \leq N \quad \text{and} \quad a_0 + a_1 l_1 = b_0 + b_1 l_2$$

or

$$1 \leq l_1 \leq l_2 \leq N \quad \text{and} \quad a_1 l_1 - b_1 l_2 = b_0 - a_0$$

- These are called Linear Diophantine Equations
- The equations have to be solved to do program optimization
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- IS THAT ALL?
Techniques of Data Dependence Analysis

- Reduces to integer programming problem
  - NP Complete
- Exhaustive solutions can not be found
  - iteration space is just too large
- Test equations and inequalities for existence of a solution
- Techniques
  - GCD test for existence of an integer solution
    - could be outside the range
  - Banerjee’s test for existence of a solution in the range
    - could be a real solution
  - Omega test: the most powerful test based on Fourier-Motzkin method
Limitations of Data Dependence Analysis

- Can not work with in-exact data and symbolic data
  - Data may not be available at compile time
- Loop iteration count may not be known at compile time
- The iteration space may not be ‘well shaped’
- Data access patterns may not be regular (may be very complex!)
- Runtime optimization techniques are required
  - Inspector-Executor model
  - Speculative parallelization
Irregular Access (MOLDYN Kernel)

for step = 1, HSTEP
    for i = 1, num_interactions
        n1 = left[i]
        n2 = right[i]
        force = (input[n1] - input[n2])/4
        forces[n1] = forces[n1] + force
        forces[n2] = forces[n2] - force
    endfor
endfor

Source: http://gpgpu.org/tag/molecular-dynamics
for iteration = 1 to n
    for i = StartIndex to EndIndex
        a[i] = b[i] + c[ia[i]]
    endfor
endfor
for iteration = 1 to n
  for i = StartIndex to EndIndex
    a[i] = b[i] + c[ia[i]]
  endfor
endfor

// inspector phase
for i = StartIndex to EndIndex
  a[i] = b[i] + c.inspect(ia[i])
endfor

// create the communication schedule
for iteration = 1 to n
  for i = StartIndex to EndIndex
    a[i] = b[i] + c.execute(ia[i])
  endfor
endfor
Speculative Parallelization

- Execute loop as a parallel loop
- Keep track of memory references during execution
- Test for data dependence
- If there are dependencies then re-execute the loop sequentially
- LRPD (Lazy Privatizing Doall extended for Reduction validation)
- Improved LRPD with fewer roll backs
**Partially Parallel Loop: Example**

```
for i = 1, 8
    z = A[K[i]]
    A[L[i]] = z + C[i]
endfor
```

\[K[1:8] = [1,2,3,1,4,2,1,1]\]
\[L[1:8] = [4,5,5,4,3,5,3,3]\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>iter</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A[]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

Iterations before the first data dependence are correct and committed. Re-apply the LRPD test on the remaining iterations.

Source: Rauchwerger et al
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- Iterations before the first data dependence are correct and committed.
- Re-apply the LRPD test on the remaining iterations.
OpenMP (Open Multi-Processing)

- An application programming interface (API)
- Supports multi-platform shared memory multiprocessing programming
- C/C++ and Fortran on many architectures, including Unix and Microsoft Windows platforms.
- Consists of a set of compiler directives, library routines, and environment variables that influence run-time behavior
- Reference: www.openmp.org
State of the Art

- Programmers use high level languages and APIs like OpenMP, Pthreads, Window threads, Mutex, Cuda etc. to write parallel programs
  - GPUs are becoming main stream processors for HPC
- The programmer must have a deep knowledge of concurrency to program these machines
- We are reaching (have already reached?) an era where programmers can not write effective parallel programs without understanding machines and concurrency
- Research compilers have become powerful
  - can achieve performance close to hand coded parallel programs
  - Input of programmer is critical to the compiler performance
The First Compiler

- Fortran (Formula Translation) compiler project 1954-1957
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- Prior to Fortran:
  - Programming was largely done in assembly/machine language
  - Productivity was low
Reasons of Success of Fortran

- End-users were not ignored
  - A mathematical formula could easily be translated into a program
  - Productivity was very high, code maintenance was easy
  - Quality of generated code was very high
- Adoption: about 70-80% programmers were using Fortran within an year
- Side effects: enormous impact on programming languages and computer science
  - Started a new field of research in computer science
  - lead to enormous amount of theoretical work - lexical analysis, parsing, optimization, structured programming, code generation, error recovery etc.
Early Work in Parallelizing Compilers

- Vectorizing compilers (1970s)
- Researchers at UIUC and Rice university have done pioneering work starting in 80s
- First landmark paper appeared in 1987 in TOPLAS
- High quality compilers are available from PGI, Intel, Fujitsu etc.
- Research Compilers are far ahead of production quality compilers
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Grand Challenge Problem: Can Fortran experiment be repeated for Parallelizing compilers?
Thank you for your attention

Questions?