Pole-Zero Approach to Analyze and Model the Kink in Gain-Frequency Plot of GaN HEMTs
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Abstract—In this letter, we present a novel approach towards understanding the Kink-Effect (KE) in the Bode-plot of short circuit current gain ($h_1$, $h_2$) observed for microwave transistors, particularly GaN HEMTs. We ascribe the origin of the KE to the presence of a pair of complex conjugate poles at the frequency of interest, introduced due to the extrinsic parasitic inductances and their interaction with the device intrinsic elements such as the capacitances and transconductance, and develop simplified mathematical expressions that govern the behaviour of the kink. We also present a physics-based compact model that is capable of capturing the KE and extensively validate the model against measured data for a GaN device under multi-bias conditions, thereby advocating the strong physical background of the model. We conclude by demonstrating the impact of various elements of the small signal model on the kink based on the developed mathematical hypothesis for KE.
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I. INTRODUCTION

GALLIUM Nitride (GaN) HEMTs are being widely researched for RF and microwave applications due to the phenomenal material properties of GaN such as wide bandgap, high electron saturation velocity etc [1,2]. Kink-effects in the magnitude of the hybrid parameters of GaN HEMTs in the form of dips in $h_{11}$ and $h_{12}$ and peaks in $h_{21}$ and $h_{22}$ are observed, as shown in Fig. 1, similar to what was previously reported [3] for GaAs devices. Additionally, kink-effects in $S_{22}$ have also been observed and modeled for GaN HEMTs [4,5]. Here, we address the kink effect (KE) in the high frequency region of the Bode-plot of $h_{21}$, which is highly detrimental for the device microwave behavior and is known to impact high frequency figures of merit particularly the unity gain frequency ($f_T$). Crupi et al. attributed the KE to the phase cross-over of the open circuit output impedance ($Z_{22}$) [6]. In this manuscript, we essentially enhance that idea through an alternate approach i.e. by directly evaluating the expression for $h_{21}$ and calculating the complex conjugate pair of poles to identify the resonant frequency. We further exploit the pole-zero approach to quantify the severity of the kink by deriving the mathematical expression for its conditional existence at the frequency where the complex conjugate pair of poles is located. It is done by computing the damping factor ($\zeta$) in terms of the small signal model elements. Secondly, we present a physics-based compact model, as against look-up and table based models used in the previously reported works [6–8], to accurately predict the KE for GaN devices under a wide array of bias conditions, which is crucial from an RF circuit design perspective.

Fig. 1: Kink effects observed in magnitude of all the four hybrid parameters for a 10x90 \( \mu \)m GaN device, for $I_d = 10$ mA/mm. Dips in $h_{11}$ and $h_{12}$ and peaks in $h_{21}$ and $h_{22}$ are seen, similar to those previously reported for GaAs devices [3].

Fig. 2: Small Signal Equivalent Circuit (SSE) Model of the device. The dashed region represents the intrinsic device as described by the ASM-HEMT model. The capacitances, output conductance and the transconductance are calculated self-consistently from the surface potential [9]. Bias independent extrinsic elements are also shown. Also shown is simplified SSE of the intrinsic device without access region resistances [12], omitted only in our hand analysis to obtain easier parameter extraction, in conjunction with the effective extrinsic inductance $L_{ext}$.

II. SMALL SIGNAL MODEL AND KINK-EFFECT THEORY

The small signal equivalent circuit (SSE) representation of the device is shown in Fig. 2. The elements within the dashed box represent the bias dependent intrinsic components of the device, governed by our surface-potential-based model named the ASM-HEMT Model, which is formulated in a Verilog-A code [9–11]. The bias-independent extrinsic parasitic elements are shown outside the dashed box. In Fig. 2, next to the overall device SSE, a simplified SSE is shown where we focus only on the capacitances ($C_{gs}$, $C_{gd}$ and $C_{ds}$), the transconductance ($g_{ms}$) and output conductance ($g_{ds}$) of the intrinsic device. $L_{ext}$, which represents the effective inductance presented by the source ($L_{S}$) and drain ($L_{D}$) inductances, is appended at the intrinsic drain node in order to realize the impact of the extrinsic inductive elements upon interaction with the intrinsic components. It must be noted that the access region
resistances are included in the overall model simulation [10], [12], however omitted only in the hand analysis to avoid complicated and lengthier expressions for (1-7).

The \( h_{21} \) calculated for this SSE is given in (1), where \( C_{eq} = C_{gs} + C_{ds} + C_{gd} \). However, it is a point worthy to note that the non-quasistatic effects, which are captured by including a resistor \( R_{in} \) in series with \( C_{gs} \), are generally observed at much higher frequencies (> 100 GHz) whereas the KE in \( h_{21} \) is seen at relatively lower frequencies (≈ 50 GHz) [3], [14]. We can, therefore, reduce (1) by ignoring the contribution of \( R_{in} \) to obtain (2)

\[
h_{21} = \frac{g_{m}}{C_{gd} s + 1} - s - \frac{1}{2} g_{m} + g_{ds} \left( 1 + \frac{C_{gs}}{C_{gd}} \right) L_{ext} \approx \frac{1}{2} g_{m} + g_{ds} \left( 1 + \frac{C_{gs}}{C_{gd}} \right) L_{ext} = \left( 1 + \frac{C_{ext}}{C_{gd}} \right) L_{ext} = \left( 1 + \frac{C_{ext}}{C_{gd}} \right) L_{ext} \]

where \( g_{m}' = g_{m} + g_{ds} \left( 1 + \frac{C_{gs}}{C_{gd}} \right) \). As can be seen, it is a single zero and 3-pole system with the zero \( z_1 \) located at \( \omega = \frac{g_{m}}{C_{gd}} / 10^{12} \text{ rad/s} \) and one of the poles \( p_1 = 0 \).

The locations of other two poles \( p_2 \) and \( p_3 \) are given as

\[
p_{2,3} = \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \frac{L_{ext} C_{eq}}{L_{ext} C_{eq} + C_{ds} + \frac{C_{gs} C_{ds}}{C_{gd}}}} \]

Since \( C_{gd} \) is generally much smaller than \( C_{gs} \) in saturation region, we can further simplify the expression as

\[
p_{2,3} = \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \frac{C_{eq}}{C_{gs} + C_{ds} + \frac{C_{gs} C_{ds}}{C_{gd}}}} \]

The above expression represents a pair of complex conjugate poles \( p_2 \) and \( p_3 \). It is this pair of poles which causes the emergence of a kink in the bode-plot. It happens when the damping ratio (\( \zeta \)), which marks the boundary between complex (oscillatory motion) and real (exponential motion) roots, for this second order system becomes less than 0.5 [15], [16]. \( \zeta \) can be obtained from (2) and the condition for emergence of kink can be written as

\[
\zeta = \frac{g_{m}}{2} \sqrt{\frac{L_{ext}}{C_{gs} + C_{ds} + \frac{C_{gs} C_{ds}}{C_{gd}}} \left( 1 + \frac{C_{gs}}{C_{gd}} \right)} \leq 0.5 \]

We can simplify this condition by considering \( C_{gd} \) as a relatively smaller quantity in comparison to \( C_{gs} \), given as

\[
\zeta \approx \frac{g_{m} + g_{ds} \left( 1 + \frac{C_{gs}}{C_{gd}} \right)}{2 C_{gs}} \sqrt{\frac{L_{ext}}{C_{ds}}} \leq 0.5 \]

This simplified expression for \( \zeta \) gives an accurate behaviour followed by the kink, if the various model elements were to vary, as shown in the next section.

Fig. 3: Broadband Bode plots of \( h_{21} \), for a frequency range of 500 MHz to 50 GHz for a 10x90 \( \mu \)m device. Three different \( V_g \) values (a) 5 V (b) 10 V (c) 20 V, each with ten \( V_d \) values. \( h_{21} \) drops at -20 dB/dec, before reaching the pair of complex poles, where kink is observed. The measured and modeled results are in excellent agreement.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We have implemented the model formulations in Verilog-A and performed simulations in Keysight’s ADS circuit simulator. To start with, Fig. 3 shows an extensive comparison of the measured and modeled results for \( h_{21} \) of a 10x90 \( \mu \)m device for 30 different bias conditions - 3 different values of drain voltage \( V_d \) (5, 10, and 20 V) with 10 different values of gate voltage \( V_g \) (\( I_{ds} = 10 \) to 100 mA/mm) for each value of \( V_d \). For each of the sub-plots, \( h_{21} \) starts off by falling at 20 dB/dec due to the pole \( 1/s \) at \( \omega = 0 \), rises in the form of a kink as \( \omega \) approaches the complex pair of poles \( p_{2,3} \), and then upon extrapolating, further falls steeply at 60 dB/dec after having crossed \( p_{2,3} \) [6]. The kink is observed to be severe for \( V_g \) values that result in low \( I_{ds} \), which is understandable since low \( g_m \) reduces \( \zeta \) and therefore a greater peaking as suggested by (7). The kink-frequency (\( \omega_k \)) increases with increasing \( V_d \), which can be ascribed to reduced \( C_{gd} \).
and $C_{ds}$ with increasing $V_d$, causing $p_{2,3}$ to shift towards higher frequencies as governed by (5). Moreover, it is seen that for $V_g$ values with higher $I_{ds}$ the kink emerges before $f_T$ which signifies the importance of modeling the KE in $h_{21}$. The accurate modeling of the kink effect with various bias conditions emphasizes the accuracy of bias dependence of the modeled device characteristics.

The impact of variation in various small signal model elements on the nature of the kink is highlighted in Fig. 4. The results are in accordance with (5) and (7). As is seen, the kink sharpens and shifts to lower frequencies as $C_{gs}$ is increased. Increasing $L_{ext}$ causes a similar effect as far as the shifting of the kink frequency is concerned, however, it causes a smoothing of the kink for high $V_g$ values since it increases $\zeta$ and eventually pushes it beyond 0.5, whereas for low $V_g$ values no smoothing of the kink is observed since low $g_m$ is the dominant term in restricting $\zeta$ below 0.5. Increasing $C_{gs}$ increases the prominence of kink as it reduces the overall gain as well as $\zeta$, however $C_{gs}$ variation has no impact on the location of the kink since the simplified expression for $p_{2,3}$ is independent of $C_{gs}$. $C_{gd}$ variation effects the location of the kink as dictated by (5). An increase in $C_{gd}$ smoothens the kink for high $V_g$ values, which is obvious since it increases $\zeta$ but has little impact on the sharpness of the kink for low $V_g$ values, where low $g_m$ dominates the restriction in $\zeta$.

Shown in Fig. 5 is a good quantitative agreement between the predicted and measured $f_k$ and $\zeta$ variations with bias, obtained using (5) and (7). Parameter extraction methodology presented in [13] is used to extract the values of small signal elements that are plugged in (5) and (7). The model accurately captures $f_k$ vs $V_d$ and $\zeta$ vs $I_d$.

IV. CONCLUSION

We presented a pole-zero approach to understand the Kink-Effect in $h_{21}$ for GaN HEMTs, which originates due to the existence of a complex pair of poles and the subsequent instability caused at the frequency of interest. We derived simplified mathematical expressions to calculate the location of the kink as well as the conditions that may lead to its severity. Additionally, we modeled this Kink-Effect using our physics-based compact model, and extensively validated the modeled results with multi-bias measured data. Lastly, an analysis was done to highlight as to how the kink responds to variations in different model elements or bias conditions.
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